UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/525,638	02/24/2005	Martin Hofmeister	27392/26949	2118	
4743 MARSHALL	7590 10/12/2007 GERSTEIN & BORUN LI	EXAMINER			
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300			DESTA, ELIAS		
SEARS TOWE CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2857		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	10/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/525,638	HOFMEISTER, MARTIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Elias Desta	2857	

	·	Elias Desta	2857	
T	he MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover shee	et with the correspondence ac	Idress
THE REPLY	FILED 27 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CO	ONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
this app places	oly was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on plication, applicant must timely file one of the follow the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No lest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance priods:	ving replies: (1) an ame tice of Appeal (with ap	endment, affidavit, or other evid peal fee) in compliance with 37	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
,	e period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
no o Exa TW	e period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la aminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (O MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS fr (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (06.07(f).	om the mailing date of the final reject b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	ction. FILED WITHIN
have been filed under 37 CFR set forth in (b)	time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date d is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later by earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) APPEAL	tension and the correspor shortened statutory period than three months after t	nding amount of the fee. The appropriate for reply originally set in the final O	priate extension fee ffice action; or (2) as
filing th a Notic	otice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp e Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte e of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	nths of the date of the appeal. Since
AMENDMEN			60 1 1 6 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	•
(a) ⊠ 1 (b) <u></u> 1	roposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or sea w);	rch (see NOTE below);	
	They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplifying	g the issues for
	appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number	of finally rejected claims	
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	· -	or initially rejected claims.	
	nendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		e of Non-Compliant Amendmer	it (PTOL-324).
=	ant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(
6. Newly non-allo	proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in	•	•
how the The sta	rposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) e new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- atus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			n explanation of
	s) allowed:			
	s) objected to: s) rejected: <u>1, 4, 5, 10-13, 18-20 and 25-34</u> .			
	s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
becaus	idavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu e applicant failed to provide a showing of good an t earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date d sufficient reasons wh	of filing a Notice of Appeal will y the affidavit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary and
entered showing	idavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing I because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o g a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections y and was not earlier p	under appeal and/or appellant tresented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	fails to provide a)(1).
	ffidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the c	laims after entry is below or atta	ched.
	OR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER equest for reconsideration has been considered bu .	t does NOT place the	application in condition for allow	ance because:
12. Note t	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No	o(s)	
•				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: because: because: the added limitations raise new issue that would require further consideration and search to establish patentability. For instance, in claims 1 and 25 now include having "modulated signal in the time domain", removing "all negative frequency samples or all positive frequency samples" and "output to the display unit an envelope curve in the time domain..."

ELISEO RAMOS-FELICIANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER